

## Student Fee Advisory Committee

April 22, 2022  
Meeting Minutes

Present: Andrew Hallak, Sarah Alkhatib, Chico Hill, Wiley Wilson, Anganette Cisneros, Jun Jang, Johnathan Li, Lee Bardwell, Kasey Ning, Nisha Sandhu, Adeli Duron, “Angel” Hsiao-Yun, Elianna Elaine Martinez

Absent: Taeoni Norgaar, Jeff Ludwig

Staff: Karen Mizumoto, Katherine Warnke-Carpenter

1. Meeting called to order.
2. Motion to approve the agenda. No objections. Agenda approved.
3. Motion to approve the minutes from the 4/8/2022 meeting. No objections. Minutes approved.
4. Update of Course Material Services Fees (CMSF)
  - a. MSE 60 No fee study, while SFAC recommended it, the Provost did not.
  - b. MSE 190 No fee study. Not approved by the Provost.
  - c. ENGR 54 Provost agrees to increase.
  - d. ENGR 7A and 7B: Provost agreed and will increase next academic year
5. Committee will be reviewing Budget Applications/Request by Google spreadsheet today and there is a summary list to review and rank.
  - a. Doing high-level review, multiple requests within, so be clear whether you want to fund some aspects or all.
  - b. Not locked into your rankings now. You may re-visit later.
  - c. We have \$19K+ more in perm to allocate.
  - d. Highest ranked:
    1. Latinx Resource Center
      - a. Comments: What is the Dream project fellow? What is it they do? Professional development training. They run the program.
      - b. Vote to prelim fund full amount - 7APPROVED, 0 OPPOSED, 0 ABSTAINED

2. Womxns Center
  - a. 3 funding components. Comments: question about the full-time position?
  - b. Note: Fee referendum didn't pass spring 2022
  - c. Vote to fully fund. Womxn's leadership full support - 7APPROVED, 0 OPPOSED, 0 ABSTAINED
  
3. Peer Academic Advising – requested last year. Most campuses have an online system to track progress. Last year SFAC did not grant full request. SFAC believed that the university should be funding. Will maintain but not expand.
  - a. Comments: online is more efficient. Ratios are 800 students/peer academic advisor in person. Not realistic. Mandatory minimum wage? If we do not fund it, what will the program try to do? Fund fewer students or reduce wages? Is virtual automated? Yes. ICS has access to some automated options. For other depts...it was not as easy to get through. Specific questions are good options for in-person. Funding minimum wage components only - 7APPROVED, 0 OPPOSED, 0 ABSTAINED
  
4. DREAM center – academic achievement counselor.
  - a. Allow to perm fund Fellowship research stipends? 60 apply for 50 positions. DREAMERS don't have this opportunity to get work experience and build resume - 6APPROVED, 0 OPPOSED, 1 ABSTAINED
  - b. \$13K for student staff funding for campus orgs and volunteer programs - 7APPROVED, 0 OPPOSED, 0 ABSTAINED

Note: Committee discussion – Focus on highlighting proposals that include student staff as a committee priority. Andrew will update/re-organize the spreadsheet

5. UC Care office. \$12K - 7APPROVED 0 OPPOSED, 0 ABSTAINED
  
6. Student Success center – supports many transfer students. Lots of benefits and support. Number of students coming in is increasing. Solid need. There are other mentorships on campus. This is easier to get into. Low income and high need. Full or partial? Move to approve full - 6APPROVED, 0 OPPOSED, 1 ABSTAINED
  
7. Peer Mentoring Program – not a lot of funding. Why Starbucks gift cards though? Does not seem necessary. We could give partial funding. \$3,200 but not include the gift cards. \$725 for event funding. Comment that the department could probably figure it out

for themselves. Just benefits Bio Sci? Does it benefits students? How many? STEM students do struggle more so. This is for graduate students (both sides). Temporary funds for mentor quarterly stipends and see impact for next year. Can they clarify event funding-incoming cohort boot camp? - 6 APPROVED, 2 OPPOSED, 0 ABSTAINED

8. Campus Recreation - interesting program proposed. Eliminate financial barriers to programs. Not a fee waiver for the campus fee for the ARC but the additional classes. Temporary partial funding. \$20K 7 APPROVED, 0 OPPOSED, 1 ABSTAINED

List of those submissions not already discussed: Counseling center – training platform. Sustainability did not fund last year. Campus Orgs - Campus Groups platform, Grad Division - Wellness Health Counselor, Veterans Affairs

9. Counseling Center – training platform. Other UCs have this. Propose partial funding. What would they lose if just partial funding? Already exists. Mandated to do the training. University should be funding mandated trainings.
10. Sustainability resource center – reclassified to be competitive and equity. Currently vacant. Temporarily being managed by Housing sustainability. Director-level seems reasonable. Person-specific impact.
11. Have annual appreciation dinner. Gave out scholarship awards. Model is done across other campuses

Comment: All sources of funding are SFAC funds. All are appropriately funded by SFAC funding. \$34,586? Funding left.

12. Sustainability resource center 16K reclass - 6 APPROVED, 0 OPPOSED, 1 ABSTAINED
13. Veterans \$15K. Any discussion with transfers resources center or OVPTL? Trying to avoid sponsored tables due to the pandemic. No version of the dinner has happened yet? Discuss next year. Temporary this year? They said no. Reach out to unit again to confirm but vote for temporary funds 6 APPROVED 1 OPPOSED, 0 ABSTAINED

- e. Next meeting we will re-visit all votes from today. This is not final. Andrew will clean-up the spreadsheet in Google sheets. Take another look. Re-read proposals. Are we comfortable submitting to the Provost?

- f. Some members are graduating. Graduating seniors – funds leftover for sashes. Other SFAC items for the rest of the members? Sarah will design a shirt.
- g. Committee will meet next April 29, 2022.
- h. No further business.
- i. Meeting adjourned.