

## Student Fee Advisory Committee

April 9, 2021  
Meeting Minutes

Present: Connor Strobel, Ashkuor Abdulkader, Joseph Acevedo, Isabella Blake, Alan Doig, Darlene Esparza, Amihai Glazer, Andrew Hallak, Brionna Martinez, Nisha Sandhu, “Angel” Hsiao-Yun Tsui, Wiley Wilson

Absent: Sarah Alkhatib, Chico Hill

Staff: Katherine Warnke-Carpenter, Karen Mizumoto

1. Meeting called to order.
2. Motion to approve the agenda. No objections. Agenda approved.
3. Motion to approve the minutes from the 4/02/2021 meeting. No objections. Minutes approved.

Follow-up from Units regarding committee questions:

This fall only would be focusing on formerly incarcerated UCI students and then it will go back “the way it was before”.

Answer from Medical Education. Majority undergrad but not all

4. We will go through the same process as last week and then vote.
  - a. Womxn’s Hub-Voted to add  
\$27,000
  - b. Sustainability Resource Center-4 student staff, Voted to add  
\$25,370
  - c. OVPTL-Peer Academic Advising Program, Voted to add: 5 yea, 4 opposed, 0  
abstain  
\$15,360
  - d. OVPTL-Student Success Initiative, “formerly incarcerated”, Voted to add: 8 yea,  
1 opposed, 0 abstain  
\$63,850
  - e. Campus Orgs-NCRC, Voted to not add: 1 yea, 5 opposed, 3 abstain

- f. Latinx Resource Center-Programming funding, Voted to add: 4 yea, 1 opposed, 4 abstain  
\$22,500
- g. Womxn's Hub-Graduate Student Assistant, Voted to add: 6 yea, 1 opposed, 2 abstain  
\$12,000
- h. UCI Care-Program Coordinator, Voted to add: 3 yea, 0 opposed, 5 abstain  
\$28,600
- i. Cross Cultural Center, Voted to add: 4 yea, 0 opposed, 5 abstain  
\$22,000
- j. Dream Center-3 student interns, Voted to add: 8 yea, 1 opposed, 0 abstain  
\$12,000 (as temporary funds-see notes below)
- k. Engineering/ICS-6 student interns, Voted to add: 7 yea, 2 opposed, 0 abstain  
\$21,600

Little over \$250,280 met here.

Proposal Application 7, Campus Orgs-NCRC. Larger range. Ranked high by some and low by others. Would like to hear from the committee members their opinions and why such a range?

Womxn's Hub is on the spring referendum ballot. Should we have contingency options should that pass? We will not vote for final to put forward until after the votes are certified.

- l. Proposal for Latinx Resource Center. Decrease Engineering/ICS-6 student interns by \$6,000 in order to support Application 2-Latinx operating. Latinx is new and not perm funded. They may not have as many resources as Engineering/ICS. Funding would be for FY22, so should resources be allocated for things that are uncertain? Can we take some funds from a combination of things and re-distribute? Comment that taking funds from Engineering/ICS removes student jobs: 6 yea, 3 opposed to move \$6,000 from Engineering/ICS-6 student interns to Latinx Operating.

Item k. above reduced to \$15,600.

- m. Do we know how the Sustainability Resource Center (SRC) has been impacted? It was part of Housing and now part of Student Life & Leadership. Starting over with permanent funds. Proposal to partially fund from PAA in \$320 increments. Split in half? Would it be worth reaching out to SRC – cheaper with larger license

for MailChimp as an example? Proposal to reduce PAA to \$7,360 and add \$8,000 to SRC Operating: 8 yea, 0 opposed, 0 abstain.

Item c. above reduced to \$7,360.

Student Success Initiative-can we check on the grant they thought they would get? The SFAC committee has funded them quite a bit in the past.

Is there anything we want to make one-time funds instead of permanent? Consider long-term trajectory. Consider as an indicator to the Provost if the committee thinks there is a need to send a message to leadership.

Dream Center – propose temporary funds and see how it goes if outreach is effective. It is student jobs so is that risky next year? Request was for permanent though. Karen Mizumoto will follow-up with the Unit on Why? Vote is yea to switch to temporary funds.

5. The committee will meet again in 2 weeks after the elections.
6. No further questions.
7. Meeting adjourned.