Student Fee Advisory Committee  
April 13, 2018  
Meeting Minutes

Present: Auzzsa Eaton, Brennan Gonering, Connor Strobel, Deon West, Gabrielle Escobedo, Javier Solis, Judy Zhu, Martín Jacinto, Michael McCarthy, Taariq Elmahadi, Travis Abshire

Absent: Edgar Dormitorio, Lydia Natoolo (Proxy= Rivka Jones, Michelle Chan)

Staff: Karen Mizumoto, Lisa Grigaitis

1. March 9th, 2018 Meeting Minutes Approved.

2. Debrief of April 6th & 7th CSF Meetings (Attended by Auzzsa, Connor, Judy, Taariq)
   i. Topics that were discussed:
      a) Would like to increase UC retention rates for black students.
         1. Surveys are sent out to current students to ask questions on how they like UCI, if they are happy that they chose this campus, etc. going forward, they would like to also send surveys out to students that have declined their acceptance to get a better feel of how retention rates can be improved.
      b) Our campus suggested further defining student fees and what can be funded with them.
         2. Commencement funding- CSF has taken a stand on not supporting funding of commencement through student fees. Nathan Brostrom, Executive Vice President and CFO at UCOP, said that this needs to be handled at a campus level and suggests that the UCI SFAC meet with our campus’ CFO to further discuss.
      c) Vendor Contracts- concerned that a few vendors practices are conflicting with UC’s values/code of ethics:
         1. Aramark- Concerned with Aramark’s treatment of prisoners and the poor quality of food given to them. Currently have nine years left on contract so asked UCOP if it could be ended early.
         3. UCOP said that vendor issues also need to be handled at a campus level. Auzzsa will speak to Dr. Parham and Edgar Dormitorio and ask them to advise on who to contact on campus and how best to proceed.

3. Student Services Fee Allocation Proposals
   i. Committee group review & ranking of the funding request proposals:
      a) Subcommittee rankings were completed, averaged, and sorted from highest to lowest.
      b) The committee will further discuss and deliberate over proposals ranked 3.6 or higher (plus any proposals ranked lower that members have a very strong opinion about funding).
      c) SFAC would like clarification on W-Hub, Latinx, and Student Success Initiatives proposals, so will ask them to come in and clarify.