## **Student Fee Advisory Committee**

February 6, 2015 Meeting Minutes

Present: Sonali Madireddi, Matthew Tsai, Sherwynn Umali, Alexander Le, Myron Lozano, John Delshadi, Sanaa Khan, Sara Lone

Absent: Isaac Straley, Ami Glazer, Justin Chung, Reza Zomorrodian, Felicia Martinez

Staff: Karen Mizumoto

- 1. Meeting called to order.
- 2. February 6, 2015 meeting agenda approved.
- 3. January 23, 2015 meeting minutes approved.
- 4. Intercollegiate Athletics Budget
  - a. 23% of all SSF funds go towards Athletics.
  - b. Need to get update from IA on use of temporary SSF use and a past history of permanent fund allocations.
  - c. Sonali has reached out to Phil Wang, Senior Associate Athlete Director, Business Affairs, to meet with the committee and for his staff to give a presentation to the committee on new request and previous allocations.
    - i. Does IA know why they are being asked to meet with the committee?
  - d. Review of IA's 2014 SFAC request and budget issues to discuss with IA
    - i. Question #20:
      - 1. What are the efforts for cultivating donors?
      - 2. What is the level of donor and corporate sponsorship?
      - 3. Need details on donor functions and what the difference is between Advancement/Development and Community Development.
      - 4. How have donor amount historically changed?
      - 5. What does the development staff do?
      - 6. How has donor contributions changed since NCAA accreditation?
      - 7. What has been the effort to increase corporate sponsorship?
    - ii. Question #2:
      - 1. Underrepresented students not as good as other UCs?
      - 2. What students are actually being served? Student athletes? General Student population?
        - a. Only 25% of undergrads and 2% of grad students are spectators. 10% faculty and staff. 63% community, alumni and families.
        - b. Less than 40% of their spectators are related to the campus.

- c. All students are effectively funding something that is generating something for other students; not serving the majority of students who pay the fees.
- d. What % of the total student population do student athletes represent? 1.6%?
- e. Is there data on student interest in athletics/athletic events?
- f. Any data on overall spectatorship and how this is increasing? How are they measuring this?

## e. Budget Issues

- i. Need to see entire budget with all fund sources (revenue, recharges, etc.) and expenditures by fund sources.
  - 1. What structural issues with the budget may be creating shortfalls?
  - 2. How are the funds from the Bren Center reflected in the budget?
  - 3. How long has the Spirit Fee been in existence?
  - 4. What is the SSF fund expenditure per the 400(?) student athletes?
- ii. How will IA fund the UC mandate to increase the number of tutors for student athletes?
- iii. Student athlete's financial aid and scholarships.
  - 1. Do students receive any core financial aid or just athletics scholarships?
  - 2. Do student athletes apply for need-based aid/scholarships?
  - 3. What is the process for this?
  - 4. What are the sources of scholarship funds?
  - 5. Do they get housing stipends?
- iv. What other universities have they used for their marketing model?
- v. What criteria do they use to keep these sports? What criteria do they use when they eliminate a sport?
- vi. Is it possible to see the last NCAA audit?
- f. Create a subcommittee to meet with IA (Myron, Sara, John, Sonali)
  - i. Look at overall budget.
  - ii. Come up with a set of questions to ask IA.
    - 1. Relay information to IA so they can prepare for meeting.
- g. What will the outcome be?
  - i. Can the amount of SSF given to athletics be redistributed?
  - ii. Create a plan to address the structural issues?
  - iii. Plan to transition to a lower amount of SSF funding

## 5. Student Fee Survey Analysis

- a. 1,200 responses after email reminder was sent out last week; overall 2,500 responses this year
- b. Goals of Survey
  - i. Get understanding of student views on SSF and other fees.
  - ii. What students want from SSF.
  - iii. Analyze results and provide an additional info graphic to report back to students.
- c. Subcommittee Report

- i. 50-60% of students say they don't use services provided (Matt will provide a detailed breakdown).
- ii. Large proportion of grad students respondents (20% of responses were from first years; 21% masters; 50% doctoral; 25% professional).
- iii. Upper classmen are not responding.
- iv. 50% of respondents were in clubs.
- v. Lower than average usage for Campus Spirit Fees, New U, Club sports.
- vi. Highest usage for mental health, counseling, career center/counseling, academic counseling.
- vii. Many students not aware of the services available.
- viii. Matt will provide the committee with a summary of the survey results.
- 6. Council on Student Fees Spring Quarter Meeting
  - a. Meeting will be at UCLA, weekend of 2/21, 2/22
  - b. Sonali will send out the agenda.
  - c. Interested people please contact Sonali.
- 7. Meeting adjourned.