

Student Fee Advisory Committee

February 6, 2012
Meeting Minutes

Present: Jason Lee, Elaine Won, Clara Schultheiss, Josh Hwang, Johnson Liu, Sandy Winslow, Jack Williams IV, Justin Chung, Lowell Trott, Chris Dunckle Clara Schultheiss

Absent: Patrick Le, Vikram Nayudu, Aaron Tso

SFAC Intern: Wayne Hwang

Staff: Karen Mizumoto

- 1) Meeting called to order.
- 2) Minutes from January 30, 2012 meeting approved.
- 3) Budget Survey/Questionnaire Subcommittee Reports
 - a) CMSF Subcommittee: Athletics, Arts, LARC
 - i) Athletics requests \$5,000 permanent funds for marketing to increase student participation, student alumni contributions. Need additional information before making recommendations.
 - ii) Arts requests one-time, temporary funding for a scanner. Not a vital, immediate need.
 - iii) LARC is not requesting funding, but Chris did have a question about LARC funding; Chris should send Karen an email to clarify what his question is.
 - b) Unit Review Subcommittee: Wellness, Health and Counseling Services-WH&CS (comments and rankings also posted online).
 - i) AVC WH&CS requests \$12,000 for technologies associated with software licensing, etc. Would this be covered by the IT fee? This request would be lower in ranking/priorities, but could be part of larger discussion. It is more of a departmental support request and any direct benefits to student would be secondary.
 - ii) CARE: They are funding actual programmatic funding from carry forward and grant funding which is funded through 2012-13; Funding requested is for distributables and marketing of programs. Recommend requested funds of \$15,000 reduced to \$10,000 and rank = 3. CARE provides important services, but they are currently meeting their obligations with carry forward funds and grant fund. The committee can reconsider their request for funds when the grant funds run out.
 - iii) Campus Recreation: Requests \$31,000. There is a broad appeal to students participating in club sports (priority = 2), ~\$5,000 in funding. Other requests such as

- sail base rental and rock wall equipment don't seem to benefit a great number of students.
- iv) Career Center: Requests funding for an Intern Coordinator and a Graduate Student Career Consultant. We are calling attention to the need for these, but may not expect to fund. The committee can put in notes to the recommendation that these are important, but SFAC may not have enough resources to fully fund; unranked.
 - v) Counseling Center: Total request of \$50,000 including 10% funding for to fully fund 1.0 FTE Psychiatrist; cost of technology assessment; 20% funding for a Senior Psychologist (replace funds lost from SOM). Is the Senior Psychologist supporting only SOM students? SOM funded 100% of the Senior Psychologist; what is the current case load for the medical psychologist? The 10% FTE for the Psychiatrist is important for SMH; rank = 4.
 - vi) Disability Services Center: Requests \$113,000 in permanent funds and \$77,000 in one-time funds to fund ring road riders to provide transportation for disabled students. The request includes 0.50 FTE for a Disability Service Specialist. Two to three weeks to wait to see Disability Specialist in the office is a long time. Maybe the committee can recommend funding half of the request or ~\$15,000. This is a high priority; rank = 5.
 - vii) Health Education: Current demographic data not available due to restructuring (were under SHC, but now reporting to AVC). Programmatic requests are about \$16,000; includes stress packs, promo pens, giveaways, prizes, etc. Recommend funding ~\$10,000; rank = 3.
- c) PR Subcommittee: DUE-Peer Academic Advising; DUE Student Support Services; Student Affairs Campus Organizations, International Center, Veteran Services
- i) DUE-PAA requests \$4,953 in funds to raise hourly rates for PAAs; not a high priority.
 - ii) DUE-SSS requests \$12,000 in funds for transition services for low income, under represented student. This seems important, but is this effective? Have they talked to SOAR for funding for retention services? Maybe we can provide half.
 - iii) Campus Organizations: requests \$1,500 for the Anteater Involvement Fair (rank = 4).
 - iv) International Center: Total request of \$220,000 to support growth of international student enrollment. Need to prioritize and rank each request (note: Aaron provided his prioritization and rankings separately and recommends funding one of the two Immigration Advisors-\$40,908 and the Programs Coordinator-\$40,908; rank = 4).
 - v) Veteran Services: VC Parham brought to this request to our attention in his cover letter. Rank = 4. Funding will help serve and coordinate veteran services for increased number of vets (~150 vets on campus). There have been departmental changes in Veteran Services; ½ FTE already soft funded, but there is no room to shift funds. There is a question as to whether or not the funds would be used as requested

in submission (based on what happened with last year's request); problems from last year have been addressed.

- vi) Cross Cultural Center: Requests \$9,512 to fully fund 1.0 FTE SAO Program Coordinator; rank = 4.
- d) Budget Subcommittee: Merage (Business), Engineering, Social Ecology, KUCI, New U, Campus Shuttles
 - i) Merage: Requests \$68,500 for internship programs, undergraduate mentoring programs, training program, and MBA career ctr. Historically, directly oriented to providing support to larger number of areas. Merage already receives more funding than some of the other Student Services areas in other schools, and versus the school of Engineering specifically; rank = 2.
 - ii) HSSoE: With enrollment growth, the ratio of staff to student is 561:1, and they lost another full-time employee in 2011-12; \$130,000 request not possible, but the committee could recommend funding that brings them on par with other schools. Can the school use other funds?
 - iii) Social Ecology: Is it better to give funds to central advising? \$5,000 for software for student record storage/retrieval system. Can this be funded through the IT fee?
 - iv) KUCI: Funding requested for staff positions and equipment (emergency alert system); rank = 3; last funded in 2007-08.
 - v) New U: Funding requested for student advertising executives (4 @ \$7,000 each). Operations and production run by 1.0 staff FTE; Editorial content staffed by students. Have said they have not received any SSF funding in an editorial, but they did receive temporary funding in 2009-10; low priority.
 - vi) Campus Shuttles: Requesting \$15,000 to partially fund Administrative Assistant and \$15,000 for and an Information Technology Assistant; rank = 3.
- 4) eTech Advisory Committee Meeting
 - a) The next meeting will be Friday, February 17. The committee will be brainstorming new initiatives for eTech fee such as the future of smart classrooms, and consolidating resources. If SFAC has any suggestions or comments re: eTech fee, email Johnson.
- 5) Meeting adjourned.